

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/738,394	JIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Trung Dang	2823	

All Participants:

(1) Trung Dang.

(2) W. William Park.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 and 103

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Tseng (US 5,599,736)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Trung Dang

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Incorporate the limitation of claim 9 into claim 1 as noted in the Examiner admendment, which would distinguishes over Tseng's reference because the prior art includes annealing process prior to the step of forming plug 10 of Fig. 6. If layer 10 of Fig.3 is interpreted to includes forming plugs in the contact holes then the plugs are not formed by an etchback process or a CMP process. .